

PATENT

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of JOHN O. YEISER) F	OR: METHOD AND ASSEMBLY F CONVERSION OF A STANDA SHOWERHEAD TO A SPRAY	ARD
Serial No.: 10/711,946)	BAR	
Filed: October 14, 2004	.)		
) (Group	
	A	Art Unit: Unknown	

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE REPLY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Notice of Incomplete Reply (Nonprovisional) dated February 7, 2005. Being filed herewith are replacement drawings, a copy of the Notice and a fee transmittal authorizing charge to a deposit account for a two month extension of time. Applicant responds as follows:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Eleanor M. Musick (Reg. No. 35623)

February 24.

REMARKS

The drawings filed with the original application, which was electronically filed, included no "erasures, ..., alterations, overwriting, interlineations, folds, and copy marks" as alleged by the Notice. Copies of the drawings that were filed using the electronic filing system are attached hereto as Exhibit A. As is apparent, these drawings are free from any extraneous markings and in full compliance with Patent Office requirements. It is submitted that the hash mark artifacts appearing on the drawings as obtained through PAIR, copies of which are attached as Exhibit B, were introduced by the PTO software or some other aspect of the PTO process wholly beyond the control of Applicant, the undersigned or any other person outside of the Patent Office. Accordingly, it is submitted that Applicant should not be penalized for errors introduced by the PTO electronic filing software and it is respectfully requested that any extension of time fees be waived since the drawings as originally filed were, in fact, acceptable.

Being filed herewith are replacement drawings which are identical to the drawings that were originally filed, except for the heading at the top of each drawing page, which has been added to include the application title and filing information for the application. Again, it is emphasized that these drawings are the same as those originally filed, and that the drawings as originally filed should have been accepted but for the extraneous markings introduced by the PTO electronic filing system.

Any additional fees should be charged, and any refunds credited, to the deposit account indicated on the Fee Transmittal.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 24, 2005

Eleanor M. Musick Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 35,623

PROCOPIO CORY HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP 530 B Street Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101-4469

Phone: 760-931-9703 Fax: 760-931-1155

Docket No. PA03 (112015-000009)







